



ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE PLAN FINAL REPORT

**East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility
Wet Weather Capacity Improvements and Nitrogen Reduction**

October 17, 2012

Web Volume 3

Table Of Contents

Web Volume 1		Page
Executive Summary		1
EJPP Final Report Certification		4
Attachments		Attachments Page
Attachment 1 -	Local Official/Individual/Group Contact List	1
Attachment 2 -	Summary of Questions Raised and Responses	4
Attachment 3 -	Summary of Comments Made at Public Information Meetings	9
Attachment 4 -	Figure 5-1 GNHWPCA Facility Plan - Site Plan September 2012	10
Appendices		Appendices Page
Appendix A-1 -	June 21, 2012 Public Informational Meeting Outline and Presentation	1
Appendix A-2 -	September 27, 2012 Follow Up Public Informational Meeting Outline and Presentation	8
Web Volume 2		
Appendix A-3 -	September 27, 2012 Follow Up Public Informational Meeting Displays	49
Web Volume 3		
Appendix B-1 -	June 21, 2012 Meeting Attendance List	58
Appendix B-2 -	September 27, 2012 Meeting Attendance List	60
Appendix C -	Community Member Presented Materials, Written Comments & Prior Data	67
	June 21, 2012 - Curt Johnson, Connecticut Fund for the Environment	68
	June 21, 2012 - Chris Cryder, Save the Sound	72
	June 21, 2012 - Lynne Bonnett, NHEJN	77
	July 9, 2012 - Lynne Bonnett, NHEJN	80
	January 5, 2012 - Gary Zrelak, GNHWPCA - Requested Biosolids Information	84
	Feb. 29, 2012 - Gary Zrelak, GNHWPCA - Requested Biosolids Information	103
Appendix D-1	June 21, 2012 Public Notifications & Supporting Documentation	108
Web Volume 4		
Appendix D-2	September 27, 2012 Public Notifications & Supporting Documentation	134
Appendix E	May 30, 2012 Environmental Justice Participation Plan Application	140

Appendix B.1

June 21, 2012

Public Informational Meeting Attendance List

Appendix B.2

September 27, 2012

Follow Up Public Informational Meeting Attendance List



GREATER NEW HAVEN WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2012

NATHAN HALE SCHOOL

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	PHONE	EMAIL	Would you like to speak? Y/N
George Hicks	79 Elm St. Hartford CT	860 424 3752	george.hicks@ct.gov	N
Lynne Bonnett	675 Townsend Ave #169	203 468 7035	lybonnett@gmail.com	I want to ask questions
Edith Pestauer	78 Elm St Wly	860 424 3044	edith.pestauer@ct.gov	N
John Torre	200 Clifton St	203-996-6775	jhn.torre@gmail.com	N
BRIAN GACKSTATTER	100 GREAT MEADOW RD	860-960-8905	bgacksta@chem.com	-
DAN LYNCH	15410 Cartera Center Drive	703-585-0225	dan.lynd@chem.com	-
Nick Stevens	100 great meadow rd	860-560-8907	nick.stevens@chem.com	-
Nick Crismale	75 Kimberly Dr GLFD	803-453-6675		N.
BART VANSI	672 Podunick Rd. GLFD	203-404-5772		-
William Philbrich	49603 Woodward Ave	203-909-2010		
Katerina Philbrich	" "	" "		
Michele Vuolo	555 Townsend Ave	203 468 7610	vuolo.michele@yahoo.com	N
C. Schutzer	51 North Mt.		cschutzerjwo@yahoo	?
DORIS JOHNSON	79 Elm St, Hartford 06106	860 903 258	doris.johnson@ct.gov	N
Laura Paige	450 West Wolcott Ave	860-490 3258		-
Steve Werlin	372 Townsend Ave		SHWERLIN@gmail.com	N
Kathy Fay	61 Springside Ave	203 815 5615	kfay@nhsdnewhaven.org	maybe



GREATER NEW HAVEN WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2012

NATHAN HALE SCHOOL

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	PHONE	EMAIL	Would you like to speak? Y/N
Gary Zrelak	260 East St New Haven	203 466 5280 x222	gzrelak@gnhwpc.com	
Stephen Mongillo	"	203-466-5281	smongillo@gnhwpc.com	
Karin Smith	100 Woodward Ave N.H.	203 500-5491	jpeppgir17272@yahoo.com	No
Lois Wilkins	104 Woodward Ave N.H.	203-500-5132	loiswilkins@shcglobal.net	No
Ricardo Ceballos	GNHWPCA	203-466-5280	rceballos@GNHWPCA.com	NO
Charlie Biggs	"	"	cbiggs@gnhwpc.com	No
Larry Smith	200 Orange Street	203 946 8089	lsmith@newhavenct.net	NO
Michael Finn	555 Townsend St	203-627-9216		
Aaron Freeman	439 Central Ave N.H.	203-691-6678	oh_aaron@yahoo.com	No
PAT COFRANCESCO	270 ELAINE TER	203-468-1402		YES
Sam Giglio	41 ROBERT DR. G.H.	203-467-4181	919110sm@aol.com	NO
Marian Smith	3 Hine Pl	203 497 9698	marthmsmith@aol.net	No
Jane Allen	13 Lombard	203 777 4121		
Bill Allen	13 LOMBARD	978 877 3882		
HOWARD SCHOLL	107 KNEEPAW RD	203 467 4204	HJS481@aol.com	Y
RICHARD MAROTTA	5141 Woodward Ave	203 467 4203	richard.marotta@gnhw.org	N



GREATER NEW HAVEN WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2012

NATHAN HALE SCHOOL

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	PHONE	EMAIL	Would you like to speak? Y/N
Barbara Ellen Scanley	485 Lighthouse Rd NH 06512		ellen.scanley@sbcs666.net	
Barbara Dufay	115 Woodward Ave			
Betty Feriolo	163 Woodward Ave			
John Cox	CT DEEP 235 Townsend St		jdcox235@gmail.com	N
Jay Fid	City of NH Pleasant	203 946-6353		N
MARIS RICOZZI	GNHWPCA	203 466-5280	mricezzi@gnhwpc.com	N
TOM SGROI	GNHWPCA	203 466-5280	tsgroi@gnhwpc.com	
GABE VANCA	GNHWPCA	"		
SIDNEY HOLBROOK	GNHWPCA	"		
JANE STAHL				



GREATER NEW HAVEN WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY

PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2012

NATHAN HALE SCHOOL

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	ADDRESS	PHONE	EMAIL	Would you like to speak? Y/N
<i>Frances Calzetta</i>	<i>239 Lake Ave - New Haven</i>	<i>203-467-0905</i>	<i>Calzetta@sbcglobal.net</i>	<i>No</i>

Appendix C

Community Member Presented Materials,

Written Comments & Prior Data

June 21, 2012

Curt Johnson

Connecticut Fund for the Environment

Submitted by Curt Johnson, Program Director of Connecticut Fund for the Environment and Save the Sound – 6/21/2012

Part of the environmental justice is providing clean water, safe swimming, safe boating and clamming for all people, including underserved communities like New Haven.

New Haven has an enormous clean water problem. During an average year of rain, the WPCA estimates that 257 million gallons of sewage overflows occur in New Haven Harbor.

This is a mixture of raw sewage coming from all the toilets in New Haven as well as storm water collected off our city streets and rooftops. Our sewer plumbing in New Haven, like most old industrial cities, is antiquated. One sewer pipe collects both toilet and bathroom wastes as well as the street drains. Therefore, when it rains, water pours off our streets into the single sewer pipe transporting our toilet waste. It all gets mixed together into a toxic brew, overwhelms the system and millions of gallons is dumped untreated into the Quinnipiac River, the Harbor, the West River.

257 million gallons of raw sewage running into our rivers in a year is such a giant number, it's hard to imagine.

So, to store up the 257 million gallons of raw sewage mixed with street stormwater that dumps into New Haven's rivers and harbor each year, you would first fill up one, then two, then three and then four super tankers – like the famous Exxon Valdez. Four in a year.

Imagine if someone from China came here and started dumping 4 supertankers filled with a nasty brew of raw sewage and polluted stormwater throughout New Haven's rivers and harbors. It would probably start an international environmental crisis. But it's what happens every year, right in our city.

That's a photo taken by Peter Davis, the Park Department's river keeper. It's the outlet of a combined sewer that leads into the reflecting pool in the West River here in New Haven. It was taken a day after a modest summer rainstorm. That's toilet paper at the bottom. You get the idea of what runs out in a rainstorm. It could be on the Quinnipiac, Mill River or leading to the harbor. Four tanker loads of this mixture right into our all of our rivers, harbors every year. Outrageous!

So what's the impacts on regular city people being able to enjoy our rivers and Harbor? In more stagnant areas of our rivers, like sections of the Mill River and West River – the pollution is so bad that the state deems those areas unsafe even for recreational boating. The concern being that if you touch the water, or you, God forbid, fall in the water there is a strong likelihood you will get sick.

Big sections of the Harbor, Quinnipiac River and West River are deemed unsafe for swimming – particularly for days after moderate rains.

Did you know that the Dover Beach, on North Front Street in Fairhaven was the City's most popular swimming beach until combined sewer discharges forced it to be closed many decades ago?

The second half of this mixture: polluted stormwater running off our city streets is also a major pollution threat to New Haven's rivers and harbor. It's pollution impact is often overlooked. It contains raw bacteria contamination from pet and goose poop. It brings tons of trash into New Haven's rivers and harbor. It contains pesticides, heavy metals, nutrient pollution and suspended solids.

A simple environmental justice goal is that every New Haven citizen deserves safe rivers, harbors and beaches. We must together use every strategy together to reduce the insult of 257 gallons of combined sewer overflows each year.

This proposed project is step one toward eliminating this sewer overflow insult. It's the first step toward increasing the ability of the New Haven sewage plant to treat a lot more water during a rainstorm. When completed, the approximately \$180 million investment in the plant will allow the plant to treat an additional 87 million gallons of raw sewage a day.

Engineers estimate that this improvement will cut sewage overflows by about 30%. For New Haven rivers and harbor, this means capturing about 77 million gallons of combined toilet waste and stormwater and treating it so it is far cleaner (removing about 70% of the "solids") and killing the bacteria that can make us sick if we swim in it. This would mean reducing the huge insult of raw sewage and stormwater pollution by one super tanker load a year. This is real progress.

How will we make more progress? We need to green our city neighborhoods, put kids to work and create stormwater "sponges" that soak up rain water, capture it in green roofs, green streets and prevent it from getting to the sewers. We are working with the WPCA on a collaboration on just this kind of neighborhood demonstration project.

A second major purpose behind this improvement is to do New Haven's part to help heal the low oxygen dead zone that exists in the western Sound.

This map, from the Long Island Sound office of EPA, shows where the dead zone has persisted in the Sound over the past decade. The red area is danger. It's the area where creatures swimming below the surface like lobster, crab, fish will die in short order. The yellow and orange areas are where they will need to swim away if the problem lasts more than a couple of days.

So what causes the dead zone?

Nitrogen pollution. Lots of nitrogen is contained in sewage. New Haven's plant has already had at least one round of nitrogen pollution removal improvements. Every single sewage plant in Connecticut and New York is required to remove about 58% of its nitrogen by 2014.

This \$50 million will in part fund the second phase of nitrogen removal. It will reduce nitrogen pollution by another 35 to 40%

In terms of environmental justice,

New Haven is on the edge of the dead zone. And the abundance of fish and lobster off of New Haven is likely affected by the dead zone further to the west.

Moreover, New York City, Bridgeport, Stamford and Norwalk communities all live right on or within a few miles drive of sections of the Sound that are highly impacted by the dead zone. The Sound after all, is an urban sea. 25 million urban dwellers live within an hours drive or its shore. So from a national perspective, restoring the Sound improves recreational opportunities for millions of urban residents in our region.

In conclusion, Save the Sound supports the \$50 million project as an important clean water project. Designed to reduce raw sewage overflows by 30% - the equivalent of a supertanker load of sewage overflow annually, It will provide cleaner rivers and beaches here in New Haven – an important environmental justice goal.

We agree with the Environmental Justice Coalition that it will also create more sludge. As the plant is upgraded to remove more –nitrogen and sewage from the water, it will create more sludge. One observation that concerned us, is the question of whether toxic contaminant levels are on the rise in sediments close to the sewage plant outfall. This warrants the attention of the WPCA, the DEEP and all of us.

June 21, 2012

Chris Cryder

Save the Sound



Why Save the Sound Supports
WPCA's Proposed \$50 million
Clean Water Investment

Chris Cryder
Save the Sound
A Program of the CT Fund for the Environment

Critical Goal #1 : Stop Raw Sewage Pollution by 2032
Now 257 million gallons/year
into New Haven rivers and Harbor (WPCA 2008)



257 million gallon sewer overflow during "normal year"
Into New Haven's rivers and harbor

- Equivalent of **four fully loaded Exxon Valdez sized tankers**
each year dumping mixture of raw sewage and polluted
stormwater during rainstorms



Toilet paper (raw sewage and stormwater)
Delivered every rainstorm to the West River,
Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor



 Photo by Peter Davis, New Haven Riverkeeper of combined sewer @ West River

Water Quality Impacts in New Haven
of Raw Sewage/Stormwater Overflows

Reflecting Pool – West River unsafe even to canoe in!

Major sections of
Harbor, Quinnipiac River
West River are unsafe
For swimming – especially
for days after moderate
Rainstorms



New Haven Stormwater Runoff: Pollutants



bacteria

heavy metals

pesticides

nutrients

suspended solids

Environmental Justice Goal:
Everyone deserves clean rivers, safe beaches!




Sewage Overflow/Stormwater Reduction Goals
Of this proposed \$50 million investment


- First step in \$180 million sewage plant capacity increase
- When completed will capture, treat and clean up 77 million gallons of sewage and stormwater overflows
- Cleaning up the equivalent of 1 super tanker of overflow annually



Sound Wide Effort
Healing the Low Oxygen Dead Zone



- Low Oxygen dead zone caused by nitrogen pollution
- Every New York and Connecticut Sewage Plant must reduce its nitrogen pollution by 58.5%
- This \$50 million project will fund second phase of nitrogen removal and allow New Haven to meet its reduction targets



Environmental Justice and the Sound's Dead Zone

- New Haven is on the edge of the zone
- Reduction of dead zone in New Haven sustainability goals
- New York, Bridgeport, Stamford residents live within 15 miles of "red" portion of dead zone



Conclusions: This is a critical clean water project; designed to reduce raw sewage overflows and Provide cleaner rivers and beaches for New Haven; an important environmental justice goal.

As it removes raw sewage and stormwater pollution It will create more sludge. Ask the WPCA and DEEP to work with community to look at the question of whether toxic contaminants are on the rise in New Haven Harbor.



June 21, 2012

Lynne Bonnett

New Haven Environmental Justice Network

My name is Lynne Bonnett. I live in the East Shore neighborhood near the sewer plant and am a member of the New Haven Environmental Justice Network.

It is refreshing to see the GNHWPCA host an informational session. We hope that GNHWPCA will continue to keep the community informed both as a good neighbor and to comply with the new Environmental Justice Law requiring you to provide meaningful public participation in the decision making process of applying and obtaining a permit from DEEP.

NHEJN is concerned about human and ecological health impacts due to heavy metal and other pollutant contamination in our harbor. Recently investigators found that 92% of our inner harbor is "of concern" for aquatic life because of elevated mercury levels in the sediment. Our harbor is one of the most contaminated on LIS due to heavy metal pollutants. Many people fish and crab in our harbor waters and eat their catch. This endangers public health.

The sewer plant is nestled immediately adjacent to residences and a large recreational park along the harbor. Today, 6,500 (45%) out of a total 14,460 tons of concentrated sewage enters our treatment system every year from other communities. GNHWPCA imports 18 tons of concentrated sewage into our city by 12 semi truck loads a day, 365 days/year to be mixed with sewage from our own region and incinerated at the East Shore facility. Water washes the flue gas from the incinerator in a series of 3 scrubbers to remove as much pollution as possible from the air which then goes into the sound untreated. We've never been told how much water contamination comes from this practice: the GNHWPCA says that it is not measurable.

Recent core measurements of heavy metals in the harbor sediment tell an interesting story. Heavy metals significantly decreased (roughly half) from the late 1980s to the mid 1990s, especially in the sediment around the sewer plant. The incinerator was shut down in 1989 due to operational problems. In 1989 New Haven WPCA applied for a permit to double the capacity of the incinerator projecting regional growth needs: the DEP required upgrades in 1991 in order to approve the permit. The incinerator restarted in 1995 and started taking in outside sludge in 1997/8.

Sediment measures of heavy metals markedly increase in the mid 1990s around the sewer plant, especially mercury. Even though 95 % of sludge mercury is released into our air we see an amazing spike of mercury in the surface of the water sediment (2-3 times its value during the incinerator shut down) around the sewer plant increasing up to the last measurement in 2000.

The incinerator significantly decreases water quality as reflected in sediment core measures of heavy metals.

Now we ask whether the proposed expenditures of effort and money will have significant results in the health of our harbor in light of the tremendous amount of pollution coming from the sewer plant itself through the importation of outside concentrated sewage from around the state and incineration in general.

The GNHWPCA needs to be included in all of the entities that increase pollution in our harbor in order to significantly improve water quality. We should be making beneficial use of sewage (also

promoted by the EPA and the regional New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission) following Bridgeport's lead in building anaerobic digesters.

NHEJN supports green infrastructure to manage storm water runoff such as natural treatment ponds, rain gardens, sumps, sedimentation manholes, ecoroofs and rain barrels because they use natural processes to filter pollutants at their source, replenish water supply, create local jobs and cost a fraction of other infrastructure. In Portland Oregon green infrastructure manages 1/3 of storm water runoff or roughly 2 billion gallons per year. Green infrastructures are already used in other cities: we don't have to invent the solutions.

We want the GNHWPCA to demonstrate a strong commitment to green infrastructure development in our region including immediate cessation of outside sludge burning, moving toward beneficial use of sludge, and supporting local green infrastructure initiatives to control storm water runoff to limit combined sewer overflows and clean up our harbor. Together these initiatives will do a lot to improve our water quality. In Portland, green infrastructure development was done first. We can learn a lot from other cities that have already done this. We could be a model city for CT promoting green solutions to our problems.

How specifically will the GNHWPCA commit to water quality through development of green infrastructure capital projects and reduction in sludge incineration?

Thank you for taking my comments.

Lynne Bonnett



Benoit G., Clark, H., Current and historic mercury deposition to New Haven Harbor (CT,USA); Implications for industrial coastal environments. Science of the Total Environment 407(2009): 4472-4479).

Lynne Bonnett
675 Townsend Ave. unit 169
New Haven CT 06512
Email: newhavenejn10@gmail.com

July 9, 2012

Lynne Bonnett

New Haven Environmental Justice Network

DEEP
79 Elm Street
Hartford CT

July 9, 2012

Re: GNHWPCA's application for permits to upgrade New Haven's East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility to meet Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection environmental regulations regarding water quality.

Dear: Edith Pestana, Environmental Justice Equity Officer, DEEP.

The New Haven Environmental Justice Network has serious concerns about GNHWPCA's application for permits based upon past and current experience. We support efforts to improve water quality in the rivers and harbor of New Haven's region. We support investment in green infrastructure to manage storm water runoff and limit combined sewer overflows. We testified at the informational session on June 21, 2012 that the GNHWPCA's incineration process should be included in activities that increase water pollution in our harbor especially since 45% of the sludge incinerated is from outside our region and the incineration process releases pollution into our harbor as indicated by recent core measurement of heavy metals in the harbor. Please see a copy of our testimony at the informational session.

We estimate that about 500 pounds of mercury vapor has been released into our neighborhood over the 17 years that GNHWPCA been incinerating sludge. The incinerator is nestled in a residential community; thousands of citizens live and recreate within ½ mile of the stack. No one has ever done a study to determine the fate of mercury vapor from the incinerator, and until the recent core sediment analysis we were not aware that mercury from incineration was reflected in the superficial water sediments around the sewer plant. Several reasons come to mind: 1) mercury is falling out of the air into the water; 2) mercury is falling out of the air into the soil around the incinerator and washing into the water or a combination of the two.

We have several questions and requests:

POWER POINT PRESENTATION

Please describe the power generator back ups. What operations are they backing up? What type of generators are they? What type of fuel will they be using? Will they be located at the pumping stations or at the East Shore plant or both? How many generators are you planning on using? What is their capacity for power generation? How much air pollution will be released from each generator when running at full capacity? How will they be protected against flooding?

I noticed that the slide indicating money for scrubbers in phase I was not present in the email version but was presented at the informational session. How will Clean Water Funds for scrubbers reduce combined sewer overflows?

What flooding and sewage overflows occur in the other constituent municipalities: Hamden, East Haven and Woodbridge?

Re the new proposed sewage sludge storage tank: is this to replace the current tank or provide additional storage; how much will the capacity of sludge storage be increased? Will it be linked to the current storage tank or be separate? Will the current infrastructure for dewatering sludge before entering the incinerator access both tanks? Will it be used to increase importation of sludge from outside our region?

How much additional sludge will be generated by the increase in wet weather capacity of the treatment plant?

Will the sludge and primary clarifier tanks for extra storm water also handle additional sewage should you choose to expand the facility? What is your responsibility for maintaining the expanded facility for just the purpose of storm water management?

What is the supplemental carbon building? Is this for carbon injection? What is that?

What are the white circles at property line on slide 23. Are you planning to expand your property at East Shore?

Money is available for beneficial uses of sludge such as anaerobic digestion. Have you evaluated the feasibility of anaerobic digesters given the availability of money for anaerobic digesters?

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

How do you anticipate meeting your role as a quasi-governmental regional wastewater management entity if there isn't unified constituent municipality support for green infrastructures and you are not actively engaged in providing incentives and regulatory structure for it to occur? Provisions in CT General Statutes 22a-500 to 519 state that regional water pollution control authorities determine the location and character of any wastewater system to be developed and design or provide for the design of any wastewater system of the authority. Further they state that regional water pollution control authorities shall have all of the powers of a municipality to conduct a water pollution control program.

What incentives can GNHWPCA offer citizens in our region to incorporate green infrastructures to manage storm water run off? What can you do to encourage businesses and communities to provide storm water management in development plans?

Can you identify definite areas of flooding and overflows that would benefit from green infrastructure interventions in the constituent municipalities?

TRANSPARENCY

Was there a fire at the East Shore Abatement Facility last week (early July 2012)? A resident at our local neighborhood meeting said that they saw black smoke coming out of floor one and two of the building at GNHWPCA recently. Can you describe what happened and why? What air pollutants did the fire release?

The energy recovery device was not operational when we toured the plant March 31,2012. How long has this system not worked since inception? How long has it been used since inception? What percent

of the time since inception has it actually worked as planned? Given the 1 million recovered by lawsuit for the cost of the device, has the device been paid for?

How much revenue does Synagro make per year from incinerating sludge from outside our region at the East Shore Abatement facility in New Haven for the last 5 years?

How much air pollution is caused by 12-15 semi truckloads of sludge brought in daily by Synagro for incineration in New Haven. How much does this pollution cost?

GNHWPCA alluded to a Biosolids Study done by CH2M and GNHPWCA in their informational session on June 21, 2012. The Yale Environmental Protection Clinic identified 15 major flaws for NHEJN that make the report unreliable: “its conclusions cannot safely be relied upon, and the report is an inadequate tool upon which to base decisions about sludge-disposal alternatives.”

We ask that the benefit/cost analysis be recalculated using correct numbers from flaw# 9 to #15 as identified by the Yale Environmental Protection Clinic in “An Analysis of Flaws in the CH2M Hill Report, Biosolids Evaluation”. In addition, we request that money saved by the energy recovery either be considered a reduction in cost of incineration or a benefit from incineration but not both (flaw#6). We ask that this information be released to the public via a well-publicized community meeting.

REQUESTS TO DEEP AND GNHWPCA

We ask that material balance studies be done by a company independent of GNHPWCA on heavy metals and dioxins coming into the incineration process through sludge and FOG and exiting the system through FOG and sludge treatment processes and that this information be released to the public via a well-publicized community meeting.

We ask that soil sample analysis be done by a company independent of GNHWPCA within ½ mile radius of the sewage sludge incinerator indicating how much pollution is in the soil from non-criteria air pollutants from the incinerator, including description of the chemical state of the mercury (such as methyl mercury) and compare the values to a control residential area that is not exposed to point source air emissions from the incinerator or other high polluting entities. While the content of mercury in sludge is falling, we have no idea what the impact of the continual exposures to mercury released into the air and then entering soil and water would be to those of us living and recreating in this area. Mercury is 70 times more toxic in soil than lead according to EPA relative toxicity values. We ask that this information be released to the public via a well-publicized community meeting.

We ask that there be continuous monitoring of hazardous air pollutants with the information released in real time. Currently testing is done once per year, oftentimes under best operating conditions, which don't reveal the amount of pollution accurately.

Thank you for offering this opportunity to ask that our concerns be addressed.

Sincerely,

Lynne Bonnett

January 5, 2012

Gary Zrelak, GNHWPCA

Requested Biosolids Information



January 5, 2012

Lynne Bonnett
New Haven Environmental Justice Network
675 Townsend Avenue
New Haven, CT. 06512

RE: Requested Biosolids Information

Dear Ms. Bonnett:

Attached please find the information that was discussed at our previous meeting on November 16, 2011. There are three pieces of information attached they are:

1. Plot of decreasing levels of Mercury in the sludge of the East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility over eleven years.
2. Cover page from the final draft of the Biosolids Evaluation. The April 2007 is the working document. There were no further revisions to the document.
3. Comments to the Yale review of the Biosolids Evaluation by CH2MHill.

If you have any questions or require further information, please feel free to contact me by phone at (203) 466-5280 x222 or by e-mail (gzrelak@gnhwpc.com).

Sincerely,


Gary Zrelak
Director of Operations
GNHWPCA

cc: Sidney J. Holbrook, Executive Director, GNHWPCA
Gabriel Varca, Director of Finance, GNHWPCA
Thomas Sgroi, P.E. Director of Engineering, GNHWPCA
John Torre, Project Manager, CH2MHill OMI
Michael Madden, Plant Manager, Synagro/New Haven Residuals LP
File
Attachment

ATTACHMENT 1

New Haven Historical Sludge Mercury Concentration

New Haven Historical Sludge Mercury Concentration



ATTACHMENT 2

Cover Page for Biosolids Evaluation Draft Report

Draft Report

Biosolids Evaluation

Prepared for
**Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control
Authority**

April 9, 2007

CH2MHILL
25 New Chardon Street
Suite 300
Boston, MA 02114-4770

ATTACHMENT 3

Comments on Yale review of the Biosolids
Evaluation by CH2M Hill

COMMENTARY ON ANALYSIS OF YALE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION CLINIC (YELC) OF THE BIOSOLIDS EVALUATION REPORT PREPARED BY CH2M HILL

January 23, 2009

INTRODUCTION

The Greater New Haven Regional Water Pollution Control Authority (GNHWPCA) commissioned CH2M HILL to prepare a biosolids alternatives study for its East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility (WPAF). The need for the study was precipitated by a resolution passed by the Board of Aldermen of the City of New Haven, dated May 17, 2005. The resolution had been prepared by a citizen group that had the aim of shutting down the sludge incineration facility at this facility, which also serves as a regional outlet for FOG wastes and liquid sludges, thereby serving many communities in the region in fulfillment of a state charter as a regional agency

The resolution equated the complex emissions from refuse incinerators with those from a municipal sludge incinerator, which is inconsistent with the types of compounds listed. Dire health consequences were alleged without following standard health risk assessment methodologies. There was no written rebuttal to the resolution of May 17, 2005, and it stands to this day with the misrepresentations intact. There is no recognition in this proceeding that the incineration facility has functioned in full compliance with its air permit to operate, namely DEP Permit to Construct and Operate a Multiple Hearth Incinerator, # 117-0035, issued January, 1976 and modification issued September 14, 2004.

The Biosolids Evaluation prepared by CH2M HILL was a study of alternatives including the status quo alternative, using decision science methodology. The methodology incorporates a cost-benefit analysis that at that time had been applied at more than 10 municipal biosolids management programs. The separate evaluation of costs, benefits and cost/benefit ratios, is a widely accepted procedure. The CH2M HILL study evaluated the alternatives, using benefit-scoring criteria presented in the public meetings on the dates reported below.

BACKGROUND

The background for the project was summarized in the kick-off meeting notes of November 11, 2006 (Attachment A). The background is summarized as follows:

- There was bias on the part of the Environmental Justice Network (EJN) in pushing forward the resolution in 2006, pressing for a shutdown of the incinerator by 2014.
- The EJN bias is reflected in the resolution inference that the GNHWPCA incinerator emissions are identical to those from a solid waste incinerator,
- The incineration operation at the ESWPAF is one highly controlled emission source that is located in an region of much greater air emissions, and includes the power generation station and the heavy vehicular traffic on I-95. Moreover the expansion of I-95 from six to 10 lanes in the vicinity of the East Shore WPAF will exacerbate the present situation.

- The scope for the CH2M HILL project recognized that more detailed work may be needed after the Biosolids Evaluation work was completed. The further work would be by others and address, among other things, the assembly and interpretation of predicted air quality impacts resulting from a recommended sludge receiving and processing scheme or schemes.
- The scope also recognized the need to plan for capacity loadings to the incineration system to realize the financial feasibility of the waste heat boiler and electrical energy generation project - now being implemented.
- The assumed operation at capacity loadings is consistent with the regional mission of the GNHWPCA, recognizing the importance of consolidation of wastewater treatment and solids processing to the surrounding communities.
- The assumed operation at capacity loadings is also consistent with the positions of the State of CT with respect to banning of the land application of biosolids, and to minimize the disposal of sludge or biosolids instate to landfill except under exigency situations.
- The study also recognized that, as a result of the States positions above, that long-distance haul would be required in the event of a shutdown of the regional sludge incineration facility.

In summary the scope of the Biosolids Evaluation by CH2M HILL was to be limited to the identification, screening of rankings at capacity, that is, for the assumed future condition. The future condition was to be based upon the full utilization of the incinerator system at its permitted capacity, and with the recognition that more detailed evaluations would be needed after the biosolids evaluation was completed and its outcome known. This approach is accepted widely as appropriate for this type of work.

The following meetings were held subsequent to the kick-off meeting of November 11, 2006:

- Presentation to the Board on January 9, 2007
- Public information session - January 16, 2007
- Board of Aldermen session, February 21, 2007
- Public information session - March 27, 2007
- Presentation to the Board on April 10, 2007

Public meeting, March 27, 2007 RESPONSES

The following comments are intended to respond directly to the points raised in the YELC review. The comments presented are numbered the same as the 'Flaws' listed in the YELC review.

#1 - *The report fails to address the emission of pollutants from the smokestack of the incinerator and does not factor this consideration into its conclusions.*

An extensive review of the emissions from the multiple hearth furnace (MHF) was summarized in a memorandum and referenced in the Biosolids Evaluation as Appendix E. This appendix was reviewed and is referenced by the YELC. The MHF is subject to several

specific regulations promulgated by the U.S. EPA. The emission limits set by these regulations were established to provide an ample margin of safety or followed a health risk screening methodology that ensure that public health would be protected. In addition, the CT Department of Environmental Protection established additional testing and reporting requirements to ensure that 17 additional pollutants not regulated by the Federal standards would be protective of public health. The memorandum as provided in Appendix E concluded that air emissions from the MHF are in compliance with the air permit to operate and do not adversely affect public health.

The communities' concern about air emissions from the biosolids treatment alternatives are reflected in 2 of the 18 evaluation criteria: Air Impacts Onsite and Air Impacts Offsite. It was give a criteria weighting of 100 because of its importance to the community. The ranking or emissions ranges from 1 (no air emissions) to 10 (air emissions with the potential to adversely impact public health). The alternatives that include the use of the MHF were give higher ratings that the other disposal alternatives.

Thus, the primary concern of the community was addressed. Air emissions from the MHF do not pose a risk to public health. Biosolids treatment alternatives with air emission where identified, ranked in proportion to the significance of their emissions and given the highest weighting criteria.

#2 - The criteria used by the report to evaluate sludge-disposal alternatives are based on subjective values and priorities that were defined solely by CH2M HILL and GNHWPCA.

The criteria used to evaluate the benefits of each alternative were presented to the public for comment at the public meetings of January 16, 2007 and March 27, 2007. The two public meetings allowed for the community to have input to the evaluation criteria as they were being defined and then have input to the ranking of the criteria prior to the release of the final report. The weighting factors were clearly the results of community input with the factors of greatest concern to the community given the highest weighting. All comments received were taken into account as a standard procedure.

#3 - The first draft of the report claimed that public input would play a role in selecting, defining and weighting the criteria used to judge each sludge-disposal alternative. However this did not occur.

Considerable effort was expended to hold public meetings and have the technical specialists from CH2M HILL available for questions and comments. These meetings were held to receive public comment on the selection and definition of the evaluation criteria. To the extent the comments were received, they were reflected in the final report.

In the draft report it was important to note that public comment was still a valued input to the review process. Comments did not have to be limited to those present at public meetings. The final report reflected the comments that were received and a request for further comment was no longer necessary.

#4 - The system used to assign different levels of importance to different criteria does not allow for the relative importance of certain key criteria to be sufficiently taken into account.

Because of the subjective nature of the weighting system, it was important to receive input from the public. Factors that had direct and potentially adverse impacts on the water, air, community and local land use were ranked the highest (100%), impacts that resulted in secondary impacts on the environment were given a lesser ranking (75% or 50%) and administrative impacts were given the lowest ranking (25%).

However, the impact on the benefit for any alternative is not limited to the weighting criteria alone. It is the product of the weighting criteria and the benefit score. A high score on a top weighted criterion is 40 times more significant than a low benefit score on an administrative criterion.

#5 - The report wrongly incorporates costs into its evaluation of benefits, which according to the terms of the report are meant to address "all non-monetary factors."

While many of the evaluation criteria have capital or operational cost implications, the potential costs are not quantified in dollars and appropriately defined the criteria in terms of risk.

Using the "ability to finance" criterion cited by YELC, one would no longer challenge that a higher capital cost project would be much more difficult to obtain financing now than when the final report was drafted potentially eliminating a treatment alternative regardless of the interest rate or length of term. Thus, the "ability of finance" is better characterized as a risk than a cost.

This is true for other factors in which the ability to successfully implement the treatment alternative is at risk due to financial, administrative, operational or permitting factors.

#6 - The report double-counts energy recovery by including it on both sides of the benefits/cost equation.

The revenue derived from the recovery of energy is consistent with the treatment of increased revenue from the receipt of off-site solids or FOG wastes as is the cost of installing a larger FOG waste receiving facility and refurbishing the incineration system.

However, the benefit of energy recovery extends beyond the project and improved energy efficiency to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and decreased reliance on fossil fuel. The inclusion of the non-monetary benefits of energy recovery is fairly accounted for in this analysis.

#7 - The performance measures that are used to evaluate each alternative are not transparent and were devised entirely by CH2M HILL and GNHWPCA.

The performance measures, also known as benefit scoring criteria, were subject to the review and comment during the public meetings. At one meeting, the scoring spreadsheet was available electronically for the public to comment on the assigned scores. Technical specialists from CH2M HILL were present to comment on the criteria and benefit score.

#8 - The scoring of sludge disposal alternatives according to each of the report's criteria is not transparent, and the scores assigned to many alternatives are highly questionable.

The scoring system reflects the subjective preference for the benefits or dis-benefits of an alternative. The scoring system was discussed at the public meetings, with invitations to

those wished to pursue the subject further. Given no requests the team assumed that the scoring was settled and moved on. The YELC has assumed that moving on was not in order and labeled this action as less than transparent.

#9 - The report uses an erroneous figure for the average amount of regional sludge incinerated at the facility, thereby undermining numerous subsequent calculations based upon this figure.

The sludge quantities of offsite and onsite origin to be used were provided as background (Attachment A), addressing a future situation as is the case with this type of work. The GNHWPCA at the time was organizing its records to operate as a combined (regional) operation.

#10 - The report overestimates by nearly 10% the revenue that GNHWPCA receives from Synagro in exchanges for the processing of non-regional sludge at the incinerator.

The revenues were based upon projections as stated earlier.

#11 - Because the report overestimates the per-dry ton revenue that GNHWPCA receives from Synagro in exchange for the burning of offsite sludge, it significantly overestimated the revenue that SQ-1 (Alternative Status Quo 1) will generate from offsite sludge contracts over the next 20 years.

As indicated by the YELC figures, the outcome does not change whether the per-dry ton revenue is \$150 or \$300. Moreover the \$300 unit cost is based upon projected conditions such as seen recently in the rising fuel costs. The status quo has the most favorable metrics across the range from \$150 to \$300 per dry ton so the outcome is not changed.

#12 - The report's erroneous figure for current onsite sludge processing lead it to overestimate the amount of onsite sludge that will be processed by the incinerator over the course of the next 20 years.

The analysis was developed using projected conditions, not present conditions.

#13 - By overestimating the amount of onsite sludge that will be processed by the incinerator over the next 20 years, the report underestimates the amount of offsite sludge that will be processed during this period under SQ-1.

As noted, the analysis was developed using projected conditions, not present conditions. The important point is that the system is permitted for the total sludge production not that from one source or another, and that it is assumed that the system will be operated at capacity.

#14 - The report uses an erroneous figure for the amount of FOG wastes incinerated at the facility, thereby underestimating the percentage of offsite sludge incinerated over the next 20 years.

As noted, the analysis was developed using projected conditions, not present conditions. The important point is that the system is permitted for the total sludge production not that from one source or another, and that it is assumed that the system will be operated at capacity. The volatile solids contained in the FOG waste are included in this capacity estimate.

ATTACHMENT A – EXCERPT FROM NOTES FROM KICK-OFF MEETING OF NOVEMBER 11, 2006

The meeting was held at the office of Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority (GNHWPCA), from 8:00 to 9:40 AM on Friday, November 10, 2006. The agenda and sign-up sheet are attached. The day was a holiday for GNHWPCA folks so their participation was most appreciated.

The notes are presented in three sections, the first two reflecting information gained at the meeting and site tour, and the third section looking forward to the development of alternatives for study and decision criteria for evaluation of the alternatives.

BACKGROUND

The background as received from Mr. DiGangi and his staff was as follows:

- The Board of Aldermen of the City of New Haven by resolution in 2005 approved the regionalization of the WPCA and its assets, leading to the formation of the GNHWPCA.
- The GNHWPCA serves as a regional authority, providing liquid sludge and FOG (fat-oil-grease) waste receiving and processing services for the City of New Haven wastewater treatment plant as well as for 12 other jurisdictions at a significant level, and additional communities at a lesser level.
- The GNHWPCA provides the regional services using its sludge incineration system, which has a permitted capacity of 1.66 DTPH or about 40 DTPD. About 55% of this capacity is required for incineration of onsite sludge production. The remaining capacity is fully utilized for receipt and processing of liquid sludges from communities in the region, under an operating contract with Synagro.
- The Synagro contract was awarded competitively in 1996 and again after a second competition later. The present contract is in effect until 2014. The contract contains provisions for sharing of profits from the merchant operations between the GNHWPCA and Synagro. Synagro under its first contract made substantive improvements to the solids processing system, after which the receipt of offsite liquid sludges began in 1997.
- The sludge incineration capacity consists of a single multiple hearth incineration system equipped with an advanced air emission control system that includes gas recirculation from the lower to the top hearth, a wet electrostatic precipitator (WESP), and a regenerative thermal oxidizer (RTO) with plume suppression.
- This operation is under a permit issued by the State of Connecticut, DEP, and is one of several regional authorities in the State providing an essential service to the host and surrounding communities.
- Synagro has made continuing upgrades to the sludge and FOG waste receiving and processing systems that have maintained performance in compliance with the DEP permit and achieved a high degree of reliability.
- Synagro by virtue of its other operations in the region has guaranteed the redundancy of the operation, thereby assuring GNHWCA of an acceptable outlet at a guaranteed price at all times.
- The redundancy provided in the Synagro contract has allowed the shutdown and partial dismantling of a little-used, problematic lime stabilization system at the City of New Haven WWTP. The lime stabilized cake had on several occasions caused odor issues at the City's landfill.

- The City's Board of Aldermen, in a 2006 resolution prompted by the presentation of the Environmental Justice Network, passed a resolution advising the GNHWPCA to consider alternatives to incineration and to anticipate the shutdown of the incineration system as of the end of the current operating contract in 2014.
- The basis for the presentation by the environmental group was by inference that the incinerator emissions at GNHWPCA are similar to those of a waste-to-energy facility such as exists at the MDC in Hartford at present. As there was no opportunity for the GNHWPCA to respond at the time of the resolution, the resolution stands, casting a cloud of uncertainty over the future of the sludge and FOG waste receiving and processing operations.
- With this uncertainty, there are also uncertainty with two projects under development, the first a waste-heat-to-energy recovery project, and the second the possible consolidation of the sewer service area of the Town of West Haven into that of the GNHWPCA.
- The GNHWPCA has requested CH2M HILL to prepare a biosolids management plan (BMP) that considers that alternatives available to the present operation, both onsite and offsite, and their economic and other impacts on GNHWPCA. Overarching the BMP is the profile of emissions taking place in the airshed of the region under present and projected conditions. We were advised that the State is starting the construction of an expansion to I-95 in the area that will increase the traffic lanes from six to 10, alleviating congestion. An EIS has been published in this freeway project.
- Clearly the BMP needs to take into account the truck traffic and air emissions impacts associated with the present and with alternative solids processing and disposal operations, as well as other pertinent measures of impact. However the assembly and interpretation of predicted air quality impacts resulting from various sludge receiving and processing schemes will be assigned to others after the BMP effort is completed. In the latter regards, there is meteorological station located at the treatment plant.

There are two projects planned or needed at the New Haven WWTP:

- The first is in the agency's Capital Improvement Program and is an upgrade of the odor collection, transfer and treatment system for odorous air from the liquid sludge holding tank (where liquid sludges are received from offsite sources), from the one covered primary sludge gravity thickening tank, and from other sources.
- The second is the waste-heat-to energy project, which would be financed partially under a CREB (Clean Renewable Energy Bond) subsidy on the interest to be paid on the loan for the economizer and electrical generating equipment (Dresser Rand).

The second project is dependent on a fully-loaded incinerator, a condition that is achieved at present because of the offsite sludge receipts allowing the incinerator to be loaded all but the six hours each week needed for routine maintenance including the weekly WESP washdown. The economics of this project would not work if the GNHWPCA were required to stop the receipt of offsite sludges as the environmental group petitioning the City's Board of Alderman would prefer.

Another potential project is in jeopardy if the sludge processing system at the GNHWPCA WWTP is shut down or limited in scope after 2014. This is the consolidation of the sewer service area of the Town of West Haven with that of the City of New Haven. The Town is not in good economic condition. There is a study under way presently to determine the cost of upgrading the wastewater treatment plant for BNR, and if the cost is excessive it is likely that the Town will want to pursue consolidation. The liquid sludge production from the Town is already received and processed at the GNHWPCA. The shutdown or limitation of offsite sludge receipts at GNHWPCA would result in this community and over 10 others having to find alternative outlets for their sludge and FOG wastes. It is very likely that these alternatives will have a significant cost impact on the sludge disposal costs of these communities, as well as impact the cost of incinerator operation adversely at GNHWPCA.

The State of Connecticut does not allow the land application of biosolids, and landfill disposal is discouraged except as a short-term expediency. This would mean that the shutdown or limitation of the sludge processing system at GNHWPCA would require the agency (and others also impacted) to consider such the offsite options for biosolids management for GNHWPCA as:

- Haul cake by tractor trailer to either the MDC sludge receiving facility at Hartford, about 35 miles distant, or to the facility at the City of Woonsocket, Rhode Island WWTP, about 110 miles distant.
- Haul liquid sludge by barge to the Newark, NJ wastewater treatment plant of the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners.'

LIQUID SLUDGE AND FOG-WASTE RECEIVING AND PROCESSING SYSTEM

The following description of these systems at the City of New Haven WWTP will be supplemented with information to be received with the assistance of Gary Zrelak, Director of Operations of GNHWPCA, in a few days.

The New Haven WWTP receives three streams in addition to wastewater. These are septage, liquid sludge from offsite, and FOG (fat-oil-grease) wastes. The septage is received at a separate location and routed to the headworks for commingling with the wastewater flow. The liquid sludges and FOG wastes are handled as described below.

Liquid Sludge Handling

The primary sludge from onsite is gravity-thickened to 4 to 6% TS in the covered South Gravity Thickener, and the waste activated sludge is thickened to about 5% TS using the gravity belt thickener in the solids processing building. The thickened sludges are then transferred to the covered holding tank, adjacent to the gravity thickener, where liquid sludges from offsite sources are also received. The holding tank is equipped with a cover, a sludge recirculation system to ensure that the contents are maintained in suspension and as homogeneous as possible, and an off-gas collection system. The off-gas is taken to a single-stage odor scrubber on the roof of the solids processing building. There are two other gravity thickeners (North and East Gravity Thickeners) in the area that are uncovered and used for back-up purposes only.

In 2005 liquid sludges were received from New Haven and from 18 communities. The total amount received was 13,348 DTPY on a 365-day/year basis, or 36.57 DTPD. The majority of the receipts were from:

- New Haven (7,224 DTPY or 54%).
- Bridgeport West and East (3,278 DTPY or 25%)
- New London (609 DTPY or 5%)
- Branford (532 DTPY or 4%)
- West Haven (543 DTPY or 4%)
- Branford (532 DTPY or 4%)
- Remainder (4%) is from 13 other Connecticut communities

We are in processing of requesting the sludge (and FOG waste) characterization data and will report on this separately.

FOG Waste Receiving

FOG wastes are received from registered haulers in a separate location, and processed in a fractionation tank by heating to separate water from the liquid. The resulting feed is about 5% FOG and 95% water. The heat for the fractionation process is obtained as waste heat from a 200-Kw fuel cell onsite that is manufactured by United Technologies Corporation and fueled with natural gas. Annual FOG waste receipts have increased as follows:

- 310,000 gallons in 2000
- 1,678,000 gallons in 2003
- 2,180,000 gallons in 2005

Incinerator Feed

The liquid sludge from the holding tank is transferred to dewatering facilities. The dewatering facilities consist of two belt filter presses (BFPs) and one Centrisys centrifuge. The cake from the BFP is added to a single transfer belt, which moves forward, receiving the BFP cake, centrifuge cake (laid over the BFP cake), and the concentrated FOG waste, in this order. This 'layer cake' is then discharged from the conveyor belt into an auger for mixing, and from the auger into the drop hole of the multiple hearth furnace (MHF).

Incineration System

The MHF is a nine-hearth Nichols-Herreshoff unit having a diameter of 18 ft and installed in the early 1970s. The MHF has undergone subsequent modification since its construction, in order to address a number of operating problems and to improve the air emissions control system.

The MHF unit is equipped with a gas recirculation system to take heated gas from the bottom hearth to the top hearth where the feed is added. The gas recirculation system has controlled the production of cyanide in the liquid stream that caused problems in the BNR process.

The exhaust air discharge from the MHF is taken through a Venturi scrubber, a wet electrostatic precipitator (WESP) and a regenerative thermal oxidizer (RTO), and a single flue stack with a GEP (good engineering practice) stack height. The RTO provides plume suppression by heating the exhaust above the dew point. These controls have eliminated the release of hydrocarbons and other volatile organic carbon compounds, as demonstrated by the record of compliance with the air permit to operate.

The incineration system operates on an around the clock basis, with weekly six-hour shutdowns to clean the WESP and perform other maintenance. The on-line performance of the system has exceeded 95%, making it energy-efficient. The continued performance of the incineration system at this level of on-line performance is essential for the viability of the waste-to-energy project discussed earlier.

Odor Control System

Off-gas is collected from the covered holding tank, from the cover primary sludge gravity thickening tank, and from other sources, and transferred to a single stage odor scrubber. The transfer capacity is limited at present and improvements are planned.

Sludge Quality

The quality of the blended sludge is in compliance with all USEPA regulations and could be processed to a Class A biosolids were it not impractical in the State of Connecticut - because of the ban on land application of biosolids - to do so.

DISCUSSION

The following material is presented to initiate the development of the alternatives to be addressed in the biosolids study, considering the information gained at the kick-off meeting.

The present processing operation at the GNHWPCA is a well-managed and highly productive processing system. The resolution of the City's Board of Aldermen advising the GNHWPCA to consider alternatives to incineration and to anticipate the shutdown of the incineration system as of the end of the current operating contract in 2014 would, if it comes to pass, cause a multitude of problems that would have to be managed through new alternatives. The situations that can be envisioned include:

- Completion elimination of incineration.
- Elimination of offsite liquid sludge receipts, thereby eliminating much of the regional service aspect of the present operation, and the valuable operational efficiency of maintaining furnace temperature almost continuously.
- Elimination additionally of FOG waste receipts, furthering reducing the regional service aspect.

The impacts of these actions would be far-reaching, as is evident from the types of alternatives (see below) that would likely be required, and their impacts on the environment. In this listing it is assumed that the base case or status quo will consist of the present system for receiving and processing liquid sludges and FOG wastes, but with improvements made in the following areas:

- Upgrade of existing odor control system to collect, transfer and treat air exhausted from the covered holding tank, the South Gravity Thickener (the covered primary sludge gravity thickener now in use) and the East and North Gravity Thickeners.
- Construction of the waste heat to energy project supporting 0.75 Megawatt of electrical energy generation.
- Construction of a mercury emission control facility, using an activated carbon medium for removal of mercury, as based upon European experience.

We also assume that the first of the above improvements would be warranted in any of the alternatives considered below.

Alternatives

The following alternatives are suggested for discussion at the first workshop:

- SQ-1: The base case is assumed to be the existing status quo, adding the planned projects for upgrade of the existing odor control system, the waste heat to energy system, and the mercury removal system. This system would continue the present operation, which is based upon a contract operation receiving liquid sludges, FOG wastes and septage, and providing full back-up redundancy.
- MSQ-1: Modified status quo, eliminating the off-site receipts of liquid and, if then not feasible, the waste to energy system.
- MSQ-2: Further modified status quo, eliminating the FOG wastes as well as the off-site receipts of liquid and, if then not feasible, the waste to energy system.
- TD-1: Install an onsite thermal drying facility in lieu of incineration, with the complete shutdown of incineration. All liquid sludge receipts from onsite and offsite sources would continue to be received, excepting the FOG wastes, which would be diverted elsewhere, perhaps to a biodiesel production facility.
- OS-X: Offsite disposal of GNHWPCA sludges in either liquid or dewatered form at a merchant facility or facilities, with of course the elimination of offsite sludge and FOG waste receipts. Candidate sites are:
- OS-C-1: To MDC at Hartford, CT, as cake.
- OS-C-2: To City of Woonsocket, RI, as cake.
- OS-C-3: To a contractor, for disposal as cake at one or multiple sites either in Connecticut or in the multi-state region.
- OS-L-1: To Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners, as liquid by barge.

Criteria for Evaluation of Alternatives

The following criteria and weightings of relative importance are suggested as a trial list to be discussed at the first workshop:

1. Capital cost to GNHWPCA (50%)
2. Operation and maintenance cost to GNHWPCA (50%)
3. Net present worth to NHWPCA Cost impact to user communities (50%)
4. Air emissions from on-site operations (100%)
5. Air emissions from offsite operations (100%)
6. Complexity of contracts required (50%)
7. Energy recovery potential (75%)
8. Energy utilization (100%)
9. Worker health and safety (100%)
10. Public scrutiny of compliance with regulations and permits (75%)
11. Impact on local community (100%)
12. Flexibility of operation for GNHWPCA (75%)

DRAFT

February 29, 2012

Gary Zrelak, GNHWPCA

Requested Biosolids Information



February 29, 2012

Lynne Bonnett
New Haven Environmental Justice Network
675 Townsend Avenue
New Haven, CT. 06512

RE: Requested Biosolids Information

Dear Ms. Bonnett:

The Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority (GNHWPCA) is in receipt of your February 6, 2012 letter discussing your position on incineration at the East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility (ESWPAF). We understand your goal is to eliminate the sludges received from other waste water treatment facilities in the region.

In response to your interests looking at the "broader context of best practices" the GNHWPCA incineration process is a leader in using air pollution equipment that controls the emissions to within permitted levels. These permitted levels are determined by the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (CTDEEP) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The basis for permit criteria was to meet their mission of protecting human health.

Your third goal seeks to ensure that the GNHWPCA is "transparent" and that the community has "meaningful input". The GNHWPCA as a public entity has always strived to be transparent and serve the community to the best of its ability.

The GNHWPCA has reviewed the information you have requested. To collect and calculate this information will take resources of our staff that are involved in large design and construction projects as well as our day to day operations and maintenance. Two of our largest projects underway include a \$50,000,000.00 facility upgrade to enhance nitrogen removal, update electrical components and improve odor control processes at the ESWPAF and our Long Term Control Plan for Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO's) which is a 15 year \$400,000,000.00 project.

As always, if you have any questions or require further information, please feel free to contact me by phone at (203) 466-5280 x222 or by e-mail (gzrelak@gnhwpc.com). We look forward to working with you to assure our continued compliance with air and water pollution control requirements that protects and enhances Connecticut's environment.

Sincerely,

Gary Zrelak
Director of Operations
GNHWPCA

Cc: Sidney J. Holbrook, Executive Director, GNHWPCA
Gabriel Varca, Director of Finance, GNHWPCA
Thomas Sgroi, P.E. Director of Engineering, GNHWPCA
John Torre, Project Manager, CH2MHill OMI
Michael Madden, Plant Manager, Synagro
Al Paolillo, GNHWPCA Board Member
Steve Mongillo, GNHWPCA Board Member
Joyce Alton, GNHWPCA Board Member

New Haven Environmental Justice Network
Lynne Bonnett
675 Townsend Avenue, unit 169
New Haven CT 06512

Mr. Gary Zrelak
Director of Operations
GNHWPCA
260 East Street
New Haven CT 06511

February 6, 2012

Dear Mr. Zrelak,

Thank you for sending the recent information on January 6, 2012 in response to some of the questions we had at our meeting on Nov 16, 2011.

Our goal is to stop the importation of sludge from outside our region because we believe that it harms our environment by unnecessarily increasing the pollution load every year; 20-22 tons of incinerated sludge is from our region out of a maximum of 40 tons incinerated on a daily basis. We know that the sludge contains many hazardous pollutants including heavy metals, dioxins and other chemicals. The process of incineration releases hazardous chemicals into our air and water.

We are interested in framing this in a broader context of best practices. We do not support the selection of a few communities to bear the brunt of handling waste products from around the state.

- We do not think it is fair for New Haven to bear this disproportionate burden; we already handle our region's sludge and don't need additional importation from elsewhere.
- In addition, limiting treatment to the immediate region allows better point source control from polluting facilities.

Our third goal is to ensure that GNHWPCA's decision-making process is transparent and allows the affected community to have meaningful input.

With that in mind we would be very interested to know the following.

- What are the costs and other outcomes to the GNHWPCA for you to run the sewer plant and incinerator assuming the cessation of outside sludge importation in 2014?
- What is the operational budget with income and expenses as it relates to Synagro?

Several times you have mentioned that modifications were made to the incinerator in the mid 1990s that enabled you to increase the amount of sludge incinerated.

- What were those modifications?
- How much would it cost to re-modify the incinerator so that it just incinerates our region while burning near capacity?
- How much revenue does Synagro make by burning all outside sludge at our East Shore plant per year?
- How much pollution is added to the air and water as a result of burning all outside sludge at our facility in New Haven on a yearly basis?
- What are the costs of that pollution?

We are interested to know how we could help you get Clean Water Grants.

- Could you provide examples of how we could assist you?

We were disappointed in GNHWPCA's response to Yale Environmental Protection Clinic's identification of flaws in the CH2M Hill report.

- How can a cost-benefit analysis based upon projections that are clearly wrong be valid?

Furthermore, the final report deleted any reference to public input in the process.

- Was that because the senior project manager agreed with my concerns about the toxins from the extra sludge being released into our environment at the final meeting?

We also have community health concerns and would like to know:

- Will GNHWPCA own the incinerator in 2014 when the contracts expire?
- Will GNHPWCA operate the incinerator or continue to rely on other companies to operate the incinerator?
- Could you save money by operating the incinerator yourselves?
- Are you open to discussing current sludge incineration with the community and other interested environmental groups?

We look forward to talking to the GNHWPCA further about these issues. If the Board of Directors of the GNHWPCA is to seriously consider modifying current operations these analyses will have to be done. We want it to be an open and fair process.

Sincerely,

Lynne Bonnett
 New Haven Environmental Justice Network
lybonnett@gmail.com
 675 Townsend Avenue, unit 169
 New Haven CT 06512

Cc: Sidney Holbrook
 Al Paolillo
 Steve Mongillo

Joyce Alton
Chris Schweitzer
Aaron Goode
Ellen Scanley

Appendix D.1

June 21, 2012

**Public Informational Meeting
Public Notifications & Supporting Documentation**

La Voz Hispana de Connecticut

Your FREE Weekly Spanish Newspaper, speaking to over 135,000 consumers per publication

Headquarters: 51 Elm Street, Suite 307 New Haven, CT 06510 Tel. (203) 865-2272 Fax (203) 787-4023
Hartford Office: 67 Russ Sreet, Hartford, CT 06106 Tel. (860) 547-1515 Fax (860) 547-1616
Stamford Office: 400 Main Sreet, Suite 510, Stamford, CT 06901 Tel. (203) 674-6793 Fax (203) 674-6794
For information: info@lavozhispanact.com - For ads only: ads@lavozhispanact.com

Date: 7/17/12 Phone: 203-466-4113
Time: _____ Fax: 203-772-2027
E-mail: _____

To: Bridget Buckley - GNHWPCA

From: Norma Lalaguez

Re: _____
• Ad placed in June 7th edition of LA Voz
• affidavit

I am sending 3 pages following this one.

Please call immediately at (203) 865-2272 if the copies are not received or unclear. Our fax number is (203) 787-4023

Comment: Bridget:

Here's the information you requested

Let me know if you need anything else from our end.

Thank You!

Norma

Your FREE Weekly Spanish Newspaper, speaking to over 135,000 consumers per publication

Headquarters: 51 Elm Street, Suite 307 New Haven, CT 06510 Tel. (203) 865-2272 Fax (203) 787-4023
Hartford Office: 67 Russ Sreet, Hartford, CT 06106 Tel. (860) 547-1515 Fax (860) 547-1616
Stamford Office: 400 Main Sreet, Suite 510, Stamford, CT 06901 Tel. (203) 674-6793 Fax (203) 674-6794
For information: info@lavozhispanact.com - For ads only: ads@lavozhispanact.com

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Please be advised that said ad was publish in
La Voz Hispana de Connecticut

Date of Publication: June 7, 2012

Title of Ad placed: Public Notice - Open to the Public

Company who placed the ad: Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

Size of Ad: 14 page



Subscribed and Sworn to before me, a Notary Public, in and for County of New Haven and State of Connecticut, this 7th day of June, 2012
Yvonne Rodriguez Lopez
Notary Public
My Commission Expires December 31, 2014

ANUNCIO DE REUNION SOBRE PROYECTO MUNICIPAL

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

ABIERTO A TODO EL PUBLICO

REUNION INFORMATIVA SOBRE:

Mejoras al East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility para satisfacer requisitos del tratamiento de aguas residuales domésticas impuestos por Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. La obra es parte del programa Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan para reducir descargas de aguas residuales en combinación con aguas lluvias al medio ambiente durante tormentas. Este proyecto es esencial para reducir estas descargas. El objetivo es mejorar la calidad de agua en West River, Mill River, Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor y Long Island Sound.

LA REUNION VA A TOMAR LUGAR EN:

Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center
60 South Water St, New Haven CT 06519

FECHA / HORA:

21 de Junio del 2012, 6:30pm

RSVP (opcional):

GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5280, ext. 321

ORDEN DEL DIA:

- (1) INTRODUCCION
- (2) HISTORIA DEL PROGRAMA
- (3) Beneficios AL MEDIO AMBIENTE Y RESIDENTES
- (4) PLAN DE CONSTRUCCION
- (5) CALENDARIO DE PROYECTO
- (6) PREGUNTAS Y RESPUESTAS

Schedule - Work for both packages will start in July and are required to be complete by August 24th., 2012.

This project is covered under a Project Labor Agreement and is open to all bidders. For bid packages designated Project Labor Agreement (PLA), bidders are required to execute a Project Labor Agreement. Bid Packages designated Set-Aside packages are for pre-approved minority and women owned firms. These bidders are not required to post bonds or execute a Project Labor Agreement. Bid Packages designated "SET-ASIDE/PLA" are Set-Aside packages for pre-approved minority and women owned firms. These bidders are not required to post bonds, but are required to execute a Project Labor Agreement.

The City of Hartford has retained C&R Development Company, Inc. / KBE Building Corporation (C&R/KBE) as its Construction Manager. C&R / KBE will coordinate the bidding process and manage the project construction on behalf of the City. Successful bidders will contract with C&R / KBE.

A bid bond in an amount not less than 5% of the amount of the bid shall accompany each bid package. Successful bidders must provide a Labor and Material Payment Bond and a Performance Bond, each in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the total contract price on prescribed forms. Set-Aside and Set-Aside/PLA subcontractors will not be required to provide bid bonds or payment and performance bonds. A non-collusion statement for the prime contractors and any addendum acknowledgements must also be submitted at the time of the bid.

Bid packages will be available on Monday, June 11, 2012. Bid documents will be available for electronic viewing and download on ISqFI - a web-based virtual planroom provided by C&R / KBE at www.isqfi.com. To secure hard copies of bid documents at bidder's expense, contact Joseph Merritt Company, 850 Franklin Avenue, Hartford, CT 06114, Phone #860) 296-2500 or Fax #860-947-3288. Documents will also be available for review at Dodge Planroom located at McGraw Hill Constructors/Dodge, 660 Franklin Ave, Hartford CT 06114 and at KBE's office located at 30 Batterson Park Road, Farmington, CT 06032. Interested participants may submit questions by email to rmazzanotte@kbebuilding.com, by fax (860) 284-7807 or by telephone to (860) 284-7407 (project specific voice message & fax lines). RFI's must be received by Noon on Wednesday, June 6th.

Bidders are also directed to observe the requirements for non-discrimination in employment practices, conditions of employment, and applicable prevailing wage law pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes Section 31-53. As part of the bid process, interested bidders must furnish information regarding their qualifications to perform work, all as set forth in the information for Bidders.

Each bid must also be accompanied by a letter from a surety acceptable to the City of Hartford stating that the bidder, if awarded the contract, will be able to obtain the Labor and Material Payment and Performance Bond.

All bids shall be held open by bidders for a period of ninety (90) days. No bidder may withdraw its bid during that period.

Bidders are reminded that Public Schools are exempt from Federal Excise Taxes and well as State of Connecticut Sales Tax to the extent allowed by law.

Incomplete bids will be rejected. The project will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder in accordance with Conn. Gen. Stat. & 10-287(b). However, Construction Manager, Program Manager and the Hartford School Building committee reserves the right to waive any informalities, irregularities or defects in bids, to reject any or all bids, and to accept a bid that, in its sole discretion, is in the best interest of the City of Hartford, including but not limited to considerations of price and bidder responsibility.

Order only

La Voz Hispana de Connecticut

51 Elm Street, Suite 307
New Haven, CT 06510

Phone # (203) 865-2272
Fax # (203) 787-4023

Invoice	
Invoice #	060712-301

Bill To Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control
Authority
260 East Street
New Haven, CT 06511

Invoice Date	6/5/2012
Publication Date	6/7/2012
P.O. No.	

Sales Rep.	House
Terms	Due on receipt

Item	Quantity	Description of Service	Unit Price	Total
1/4 Page	1	1/4 Page Ad Meeting Sound School	465.00	465.00

RECEIVED
JUN 11 2012
GNWPCA ADMINISTRATION

Invoice Total \$465.00
Payments/Credits \$0.00
Current Amount \$465.00

Total Amount Due:	\$465.00
--------------------------	-----------------

Mail all checks payable to: La Voz Hispana; 51 Elm Street Suite 307, New Haven, CT 06510
If you have any questions regarding this invoice, call ACCOUNTS PAYABLE at (203) 865-2272
"Thank you for choosing La Voz Hispana"

ANUNCIO DE REUNION SOBRE PROYECTO MUNICIPAL

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

ABIERTO A TODO EL PUBLICO

REUNION INFORMATIVA SOBRE:

Mejoras al East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility para satisfacer requisitos del tratamiento de aguas residuales domésticas impuestos por Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. La obra es parte del programa Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan para reducir descargas de aguas residuales en combinación con aguas lluvias al medio ambiente durante tormentas. Este proyecto es esencial para reducir estas descargas. El objetivo es mejorar la calidad de agua en West River, Mill River, Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor y Long Island Sound.

LA REUNION VA A TOMAR LUGAR EN:

Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center
60 South Water St, New Haven CT 06519

FECHA / HORA:

21 de Junio del 2012, 6:30pm

RSVP (opcional):

GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5280, ext. 321

ORDEN DEL DIA:

- (1) INTRODUCCION
- (2) HISTORIA DEL PROGRAMA
- (3) BENEFICIOS AL MEDIO AMBIENTE Y RESIDENTES
- (4) PLAN DE CONSTRUCCION
- (5) CALENDARIO DE PROYECTO
- (6) PREGUNTAS Y RESPUESTAS

Considerations for the individual trade packages consist of the following:

Bid Package # 32

Main Lobby Floor Tile – PLA

Bid Package # 33

Abatement, Demolition of Portable Classrooms and Repaving – PLA

Schedule – Work for both packages will start in July and are required to be complete by August 24th., 2012.

This project is covered under a Project Labor Agreement and is open to all bidders. For bid packages designated Project Labor Agreement (PLA), bidders are required to execute a Project Labor Agreement. Bid Packages designated Set-Aside packages are for pre-approved minority and women owned firms. These bidders are not required to post bonds or execute a Project Labor Agreement. Bid Packages designated "SET-ASIDE/PLA" are Set-Aside packages for pre-approved minority and women owned firms. These bidders are not required to post bonds, but are required to execute a Project Labor Agreement.

The City of Hartford has retained C&R Development Company, Inc. / KBE Building Corporation (C&R/KBE) as its Construction Manager. C&R / KBE will coordinate the bidding process and manage the project construction on behalf of the City. Successful bidders will contract with C&R / KBE.

A bid bond in an amount not less than 5% of the amount of the bid shall accompany each bid package. Successful bidders must provide a Labor and Material Payment Bond and a Performance Bond, each in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the total contract price on prescribed forms. Set-Aside and Set-Aside/PLA subcontractors will not be required to provide bid bonds or payment and performance bonds. A non-collusion statement for the prime contractors and any addendum acknowledgements must also be submitted at the time of the bid.

Bid packages will be available on Monday, June 11, 2012. Bid documents will be available for electronic viewing and download on iSqft - a web-based virtual planroom provided by C&R / KBE at www.isqft.com. To secure hard copies of bid documents at bidder's expense, contact Joseph Merritt Company, 65 Franklin Avenue, Hartford, CT 06114, Phone #(860) 296-2500 or Fax #860-947-3288. Documents will also be available for review at Dodge Planroom located at McGraw Hill Construction/Dodge, 650 Franklin Ave, Hartford CT 06114 and at KBE's office located at 30 Batterson Park Road, Farmington, CT 06032. Interested participants may submit questions by email to rmezzanotte@kbebuilding.com, by fax (860) 284-7807 or by telephone to (860) 284-7407 (project specific voice message & fax lines). RFI's must be received by Noon on Wednesday, June 6th.

Bidders are also directed to observe the requirements for non-discrimination in employment practices conditions of employment, and applicable prevailing wage law pursuant to Connecticut General Statute Section 31-53. As part of the bid process, interested bidders must furnish information regarding their qualifications to perform work, all as set forth in the Information for Bidders.

Each bid must also be accompanied by a letter from a surety acceptable to the City of Hartford stating that the bidder, if awarded the contract, will be able to obtain the Labor and Material Payment and Performance Bond.

All bids shall be held open by bidders for a period of ninety (90) days. No bidder may withdraw its bid during that period.

Bidders are reminded that Public Schools are exempt from Federal Excise Taxes and well as State of Connecticut Sales Tax to the extent allowed by law.

Incomplete bids will be rejected. The project will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder in accordance with Conn. Gen. Stat. & 10-287(B). However, Construction Manager, Program Manager and the Hartford School Building committee reserves the right to waive any informalities, irregularities or defects in bids to reject any or all bids, and to accept a bid that, in its sole discretion, is in the best interest of the City of Hartford, including but not limited to considerations of price and bidder responsibility.

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

New Haven Register

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

County of New Haven

I Barbara Colello of New Haven, Connecticut, being duly sworn, do depose and say that I am a Sales Representative of the New Haven Register, and that on

the following date6/11/12.....to wit.....

there was published in the regular daily edition of the said newspaper an advertisement,

Barbara Colello


JUL 25 2012

NOTARY PUBLIC

And that the newspaper extracts hereto annexed were clipped from each of the above-named issues of said newspaper.

Subscribed and sworn to this17th..... day ofJuly..... 20.....12.....Before me.

Mary Federico



**GREATER NEW HAVEN WATER
POLLUTION
CONTROL AUTHORITY**

**PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL MEETING
ANNOUNCEMENT**

OPEN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC

**AN INFORMATIONAL MEETING
REGARDING:**

The upgrade of the East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility to meet Connecticut Department of Energy and Environment Protection environmental regulations regarding water quality. The upgrade is a key part of the Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan to reduce the discharge of combined sewer overflows. The goal is to improve the water quality of the West River, the Mill River, the Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor and ultimately Long Island Sound.

WILL BE HELD AT:

Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center, 60 South Water St., New Haven, CT 06519.

ON:

June 21st, 2012 at 6:30pm

**PRIOR TO THE MEETING,
INTERESTED PARTIES MAY RSVP ON
WEEKDAYS, WITH RESPECT TO
ATTENDANCE, TO:**

GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5280, ext. 321

**THE AGENDA FOR THE
INFORMATIONAL MEETING WILL BE:**

- (1) GENERAL INTRODUCTION
- (2) COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW LONG-TERM CONTROL PLAN HISTORY
- (3) BENEFITS TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND RESIDENTS
- (4) LAYOUT OF THE FACILITY
- (5) SCHEDULE
- (6) QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

NEW HAVEN REGISTER
 P.O. BOX 8715
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06531

Address Service Requested

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING INVOICE

ACCOUNT INFORMATION

PHONE NO: 203-466-5281
 ACCOUNT NO: 034-6193048
 DATE: 06/11/2012
 TERMS: PAYABLE ON RECEIPT

CUSTOMER NAME AND ADDRESS:

GREATER NH WATER POLLUTION
 260 EAST SHORE PWY
 CONTROL AUTHORITY
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06511

FIRST NOTICE I

INVOICE NO.	REP	CLASS	DESCRIPTION	DOCKET / PO NUMBER	START DATE	STOP DATE	TIMES	LINES	AMOUNT
02540218	2031	1200	GREATER NEW HAVEN WATER P 2499168, FAX/DEBBIE TORRE, CR NHW 32	GREATER NH WATER POL	06/11/12	06/11/12	02	99.00	1,600.89

APPROVED FOR PAYMENT
 DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING

[Signature]
 THOMAS V. SGROI, P.E. DATE 6/22/12

RECEIVED
 JUN 21 2012
 GNEWPCA ADMINISTRATION

TOTAL

\$1,600.89

*** THANK YOU FOR YOUR PROMPT PAYMENT ***

BILLING INQUIRIES 877-396-8937 MON-FRI 8:30 AM - 5PM
 CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING 203-777-3278 MON-FRI 8:30 AM - 5PM
 DISPLAY ADVERTISING 203-789-5402 MON-FRI 9:00 AM - 5PM
 SUBSCRIPTIONS 800-925-2472 M-F 6:00AM-5PM SAT/SUN 6-10:30AM
 WEB SITE WWW.NHREGISTER.COM

Detach and return this portion with your payment.

FIRST NOTICE I

Please do NOT include correspondence
 or write on this remittance form.

PHONE NO: 203-466-5281
 ACCOUNT NO: 034-6193048
 ACCOUNT NAME: GREATER NH WATER POLLUTION

AMOUNT PAID: \$
 CHECK #

NHR

NEW HAVEN REGISTER
 P.O. BOX 8715
 NEW HAVEN, CT 06531

MAIL PROMPTLY TO:

NEW HAVEN REGISTER
 P.O. BOX 1877
 ALBANY, NY 12201-1877

034000NHR000006193048000006193048000002540218000160089000160089061120122

Ad Number: 2499168

Account No: 381067

Customer: GREATER NH WATER POLLUTION Contact: FAX/DEBBIE TORRE Phone: 2034665281

Price: 1600.89

Size: 3 X 100.00

Notes:

Class: 1200; LEGALS

Printed By: BCOLELLO 06/19/2012

Ordered: 2 Times

Dates: 06/11/2012 06/11/2012

Signature of Approval:

Date:



**GREATER NEW HAVEN WATER
POLLUTION
CONTROL AUTHORITY**

**PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL MEETING
ANNOUNCEMENT**

OPEN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC

**AN INFORMATIONAL MEETING
REGARDING:**

The upgrade of the East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility to meet Connecticut Department of Energy and Environment Protection environmental regulations regarding water quality. The upgrade is a key part of the Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan to reduce the discharge of combined sewer overflows. The goal is to improve the water quality of the West River, the Mill River, the Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor and ultimately Long Island Sound.

WILL BE HELD AT:

Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center, 60 South Water St., New Haven, CT 06519.

ON:

June 21st, 2012 at 6:30pm

**PRIOR TO THE MEETING,
INTERESTED PARTIES MAY RSVP ON
WEEKDAYS, WITH RESPECT TO
ATTENDANCE TO.**

ATTENDANCE, TO:

GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5280, ext. 321

**THE AGENDA FOR THE
INFORMATIONAL MEETING WILL BE:**

- (1) GENERAL INTRODUCTION
- (2) COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW LONG-TERM
CONTROL PLAN HISTORY
- (3) BENEFITS TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND RESIDENTS
- (4) LAYOUT OF THE FACILITY
- (5) SCHEDULE
- (6) QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

2499168

PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

OPEN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC

AN INFORMATIONAL MEETING REGARDING:

The upgrade of the East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility to meet Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection environmental regulations regarding water quality. This upgrade is a key part of the Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan to reduce the discharge of combined sewer overflows. The goal is to improve the water quality of the West River, the Mill River, the Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor and ultimately Long Island Sound.

WILL BE HELD AT:

Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center, 60 South Water St, New Haven CT 06519

ON:

June 21st, 2012 at 6:30pm

PRIOR TO THE MEETING, INTERESTED PARTIES MAY RSVP ON WEEKDAYS, WITH RESPECT TO ATTENDANCE, TO:

GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5280, ext. 321

THE AGENDA FOR THE INFORMATIONAL MEETING WILL BE:

- (1) GENERAL INTRODUCTION
- (2) COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW LONG TERM CONTROL PLAN HISTORY
- (3) BENEFITS TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND RESIDENTS
- (4) LAYOUT OF THE FACILITY
- (5) SCHEDULE
- (6) QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

ANUNCIO DE REUNION SOBRE PROYECTO MUNICIPAL

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

ABIERTO A TODO PUBLICO

REUNION INFORMATIVA SOBRE:

Mejoras al East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility para satisfacer requisitos del tratamiento de aguas residuales domésticas impuestos por Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. La obra es parte del programa *Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan* para reducir descargas de aguas residuales en combinación con aguas lluvias al medio ambiente durante tormentas. Este proyecto es esencial para reducir estas descargas. El objetivo es mejorar la calidad de agua en West River, Mill River, Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor y Long Island Sound.

LA REUNION VA A TOMAR LUGAR EN:

Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center
60 South Water St, New Haven CT 06519

FECHA / HORA:

21 de Junio del 2012, 6:30pm

RSVP (opcional):

GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5280, ext. 321

ORDEN DEL DIA:

- (1) INTRODUCCION
- (2) HISTORIA DEL PROGRAMA
- (3) BENEFICIOS AL MEDIO AMBIENTE Y RESIDENTES
- (4) PLAN DE CONSTRUCCION
- (5) CALENDARIO DE PROYECTO
- (6) PREGUNTAS Y RESPUESTAS



RECIPIENT ADDRESS INFO

Dear XXX,

As a local stakeholder you are being notified of the proposed upgrade to the Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority's (GNHWPA) East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facilities at 345 East Shore Parkway, New Haven, CT. Equipment upgrades are also proposed for Pre-Treatment Pumping Facilities at the GNHWPCA's East Street, Boulevard, and Union Street Pumping Stations. These upgrades are required by the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection to meet environmental regulations regarding water quality.

The proposed upgrades of facilities are to Maximize Flow to the Treatment Plant in accordance with US EPA Guidelines for CSO Communities. This upgrade is a key part of the 2001 and 2011 Update of the Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan (LTCP) to reduce the discharge of combined sewer overflows and reduce the discharge of Nitrogen to New Haven Harbor and Long Island Sound. The Environmental Impacts are improved water quality in the West River, the Mill River, the Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor and ultimately Long Island Sound. The water quality improvements are measured by a reduction of combined sewer overflows for up to a 2 year design storm. In addition, the upgrades will reduce basement backups; reduce street flooding; and protect critical shoreline areas of greatest public use and environmental sensitivity. It is anticipated that the improvements to the East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility will reduce overflows to public waterways by approximately 30 percent.

Implementation of the Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long Term Control Plan will clearly dominate the Authority's agenda for the foreseeable future. This is just one more way the Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority is doing its part to provide you, our customers, with the best service possible. The changes we will make will help protect our environment and improve the quality of life for the region both now and in years to come.

This notification is a requirement of the Connecticut Department of Environmental Justice Program to inform the community and interested parties about the proposed project. The attached announcement details a public participation forum to be held on June 21, 2012.

Sincerely,

The Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority



PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

OPEN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC

AN INFORMATIONAL MEETING REGARDING:

The upgrade of the East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility to meet Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection environmental regulations regarding water quality. This upgrade is a key part of the Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan to reduce the discharge of combined sewer overflows. The goal is to improve the water quality of the West River, the Mill River, the Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor and ultimately Long Island Sound.

WILL BE HELD AT:

Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center, 60 South Water St, New Haven CT 06519

ON:

June 21st, 2012 at 6:30pm

PRIOR TO THE MEETING, INTERESTED PARTIES MAY *RSVP* ON WEEKDAYS, WITH RESPECT TO ATTENDANCE, TO:

GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5280, ext. 321

THE AGENDA FOR THE INFORMATIONAL MEETING WILL BE:

- (1) GENERAL INTRODUCTION
- (2) COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW LONG TERM CONTROL PLAN HISTORY
- (3) BENEFITS TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND RESIDENTS
- (4) LAYOUT OF THE FACILITY
- (5) SCHEDULE
- (6) QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
Martin M. Looney
 Legislative Office Building Room 3300
 Hartford, CT 06101-1591

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 Debra Maselek Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *Debra Maselek* C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 5938**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Representative Robert W. Menga
 Legislative Office Building Rm 2802
 Hartford, CT 06106-1591

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 Debra Maselek Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *Debra Maselek* C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 8069**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Mayor John DeStefano, Jr
 165 Church Street
 New Haven CT 06510

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 5914**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Mayor Joseph Maturo Jr.
 250 Main St.
 East Haven, CT 06512

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery *6/11/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 8076**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Mayor Scott D. Jackson
 2750 Dixwell Ave.
 Hamden, CT 06518

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery *6/11/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 8083**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 First Selectman Edward M. Sheehy
 1 Meetinghouse Lane
 Woodbridge, CT 06525

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 8090**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Board of Aldermen
 165 Church Street
 New Haven, CT 06510

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery *6-11-12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 8106**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Attn: Susan Weber
 Sound School
 60 South Water Street
 New Haven, CT 06519

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery *6/11/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 5907**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Connecticut Coalition for Environmental Justice
 P.O. Box 2022
 Hartford, CT 06145-2022

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery *6-11-12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) **7010 1870 0001 7445 6409**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Iliana Ayala Water Bureau
 CT Dept of Energy and Environmental Protection
 79 Elm Street
 Hartford, Ct 06106-5127

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 X *[Signature]* Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *[Signature]* C. Date of Delivery *6/12/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 5884

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Michael Pascucilla Director of Health
 4 Business Park Drive
 Branford, CT 06405

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 X *[Signature]* Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery *6-11-12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 8113

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 eslie A. Balch Hamden & Woodbridge D of H
 Quinnipiac Valley Health District
 151 Hartford Turnpike
 North Haven, CT 06473

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 X *[Signature]* Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *[Signature]* C. Date of Delivery *6/11/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 8120

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 New Haven Director of Health
 Mario Garcia
 54 Meadow Street
 New Haven, CT, 06519

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 X *[Signature]* Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *[Signature]* C. Date of Delivery *6/11*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 8137

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Attn: Barbara Carroll
 East Shore Management Group
 165 Hyde Street
 New Haven, CT 06512

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 X *[Signature]* Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *[Signature]* C. Date of Delivery *6/9/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 5891

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Eric Muir
 CH2M Hill
 100 Great Meadow Road Suite 107
 Weathersfield, CT 06109-2355

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 X *[Signature]* Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 2285 486E 2000 0262 1102

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
 Edith Pastana Environmental Justice
 CT Dept of Energy and Environmental Protection
 79 Elm Street
 Hartford, Ct 06106-5127

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 X *[Signature]* Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *[Signature]* C. Date of Delivery *6/12/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 5860

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: PSEG POWER CONNECTICUT LLC 80 Park Plaza T-6b Newark, NJ 07101		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6218			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	

CH2M Hill
100 Great Meadow Road, Suite 107
Wethersfield, CT 06109-2355



U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
BOLTON, MA
01740
JUN 07, 2012
AMOUNT
\$5.75
00038049-04

WESTCHESTER MOTOR
320 East Shore Pkwy
New Haven, CT

NIXIE 061 DE 1 00 06/13/12
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
BC: 06109235532 *0522-00679-07-38

06109@2355
0651281812 C099

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: MOTIVA ENTERPRISES, LLC Property Tax Department PO Box 4369 Houston, TX 77210		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6249			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: CITY OF NEW HAVEN PARK DEPT 720 Edgewood Ave New Haven, CT 06515		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6102			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: c/o Anthony Ruocco THE ANNEX YOUNG MENS ASSOCIATION INC. PO Box 157 East Haven, CT 06512		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6119			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: STEPHEN FRIES 498-C Woodward Ave #D-6 New Haven, CT 06512		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6126			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: ANTHONY SANTINO 42 Robin Hill Lane Hamden, CT 06518		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6133			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: RICHARD A MAROTTOLI C/O JOE DEMATTEO 504 Woodward Av New Haven, CT 06512		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6096			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	


SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: ANTHONY SANTINO 42 Robin Hill Lane Hamden, CT 06518		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6133			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	


SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: RICHARD A MAROTTOLI C/O JOE DEMATTEO 504 Woodward Av New Haven, CT 06512		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6096			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	


SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: ANTHONY SANTINO 42 Robin Hill Lane Hamden, CT 06518		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6133			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	


SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: RICHARD A MAROTTOLI C/O JOE DEMATTEO 504 Woodward Av New Haven, CT 06512		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6096			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature X <i>[Signature]</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
1. Article Addressed to: ANTHONY SANTINO 42 Robin Hill Lane Hamden, CT 06518		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6133			
PS Form 3811, February 2004		Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee 	
1. Article Addressed to: CHILESHE NKONDE 496 Woodward Av #3 New Haven, CT 06512		B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Delivery 9 JAN 13
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6157		D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.	
		4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee 	
1. Article Addressed to: WILLIAM M. PHILBRICK 496 Woodward Av New Haven, CT 06511		B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Delivery 9 JAN 13
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6164		D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.	
		4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee 	
1. Article Addressed to: ANNA ISKRA 498 Woodward Av New Haven, CT 06511		B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Delivery 9 JAN 13
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6171		D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.	
		4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee 	
1. Article Addressed to: MARIE & SANTINO JOSE D'EUGENIO 67 Townsend Ave New Haven, CT 06512		B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Delivery 6/9/12
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6188		D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.	
		4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	

H2M Hill
00 Great Meadow Road, Suite 107
Bethersfield, CT 06109-2355



7011 2970 0002 3984 6195


JENNIFER E SIMPSON
500A Woodward Ave # D8
New Haven, CT 06511



U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
BOLTON, MA
01740
JUN 07 12
AMOUNT
\$5.75
00038049-04

1000 06511


FORWARD X D15 NFE 1 1111 00 06/07/12
TIME EXP RTN TO SEND
SIMPSON, JENNIFER
7990 E SNYDER RD APT 17202
TUCSON AZ 85750-9037
RETURN TO SENDER

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 		A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee 	
1. Article Addressed to: JEFFREY M GALLAGHER 10 Lancelot Dr North Haven, CT 06473		B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Delivery 6-18-12
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7011 2970 0002 3984 6027		D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No	
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt		3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.	
		4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	

Redo 907

Hold Resend 6/15

PAUL P SPAHR
PO Box 8622
New Haven, CT 06531



7011 2970 0002 3984 6034

1000 06531



U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
BOLTON, MA
01740
JUN 07 12
AMOUNT
\$5.75
00038049-04

1000 06531

NAME
1st Notice 6-20

NIXIE 061 DE 1 00 07/07/12
RETURN TO SENDER
UNCLAIMED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
BC: 06109235532 *0522-00692-07-38

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA
 7255 Baymeadows Way
 Jacksonville, FL 32256

2. Article Number
 (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 6041**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *J. Miller* C. Date of Delivery *JUN 11 2012*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
CATHERINE M PENA
 506 Woodward Av #12
 New Haven, CT 06512

2. Article Number
 (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 6058**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery *9/11/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
MARIA MARTONE
 17 Autumn CT
 Northford, CT 06472

2. Article Number
 (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 6065**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
VANESSA & SANTIAGO JOSE TORRES
 508 Woodward Ave
 New Haven, CT 06511

2. Article Number
 (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 6072**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *Vanessa Torres* C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
KATHERINE & REED JASON SZLEMP
 508-B Woodward Ave
 New Haven, CT 06512

2. Article Number
 (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 6089**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) *Jason Reed* C. Date of Delivery *9/11/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:
JOSEPH S & ROSEMARIE DEAMTTEO
 504 Woodward Av # B2
 New Haven, CT 06512

2. Article Number
 (Transfer from service label) **7011 2970 0002 3984 6140**

PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature
 [Signature] Agent Addressee

B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery *9/11/12*

D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
 If YES, enter delivery address below: No

3. Service Type
 Certified Mail Express Mail
 Registered Return Receipt for Merchandise
 Insured Mail C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Yes

CH2M Hill
 100 Great Meadow Road, Suite 107
 Wethersfield, CT 06109-2355



U.S. POSTAGE
 PAID
 BOLTON, MA
 01740
 JUN 07 12
 AMOUNT
\$5.75
 00038049-04

ANDREA ANTONOPOULOS
 514 Woodward Ave #17
 New Haven, CT

6/9
 061 DE 1 00 06/28/12
 RETURN TO SENDER
 UNCLAIMED
 UNABLE TO FORWARD
 BC: 06109235532 *0522-00699-07-38

06109235532 @ 06/28/12
 06512 06109235532 0017

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p><i>Chris Brown</i></p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery</p> <p><i>Chris Brown</i> <i>9 Jan 12</i></p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>3. Service Type <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.</p> <p>4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
1. Article Addressed to: ANGELA DEPINO 516 Woodward Ave A-18 New Haven, CT 06512	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	7011 2970 0002 3984 5976
PS Form 3811, February 2004	Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

100 Great Meadow Road, Suite 107
Wethersfield, CT 06109-2355



ALBERT DEFRANCESCO, JR
516 Woodward Ave Unit E-19
New Haven, CT 06512

NIXIE 061 NFE 1 12 00 06/13/12
RETURN TO SENDER
NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
UNABLE TO FORWARD
BC: 06109235532 *0522-00701-07-38

06109@2355
0651281965 001

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery</p> <p><i>Monica Paul</i> <i>8-11-12</i></p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>3. Service Type <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.</p> <p>4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
Article Addressed to: SECRETARY OF HUD 4400 Will Rogers Pkwy Ste 300 Oklahoma City, OK 73108	
Article Number (Transfer from service label)	7011 2970 0002 3984 5990
PS Form 3811, February 2004	Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> <i>9 Jan 12</i></p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.</p> <p>4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
1. Article Addressed to: LEAH NICHOLAS 518 Woodward Ave F-21 New Haven, CT 06512	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	7011 2970 0002 3984 6003
PS Form 3811, February 2004	Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> <i>9 JAN 12</i></p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.</p> <p>4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
1. Article Addressed to: MATTHEW, MEOLI 510 Woodward Ave #22 New Haven, CT 06512	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	7011 2970 0002 3984 5969
PS Form 3811, February 2004	Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery</p> <p><i>NINO RIBEIRO</i> <i>9 JAN 12</i></p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.</p> <p>4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
Article Addressed to: NINO RIBEIRO 510 Woodward Ave Unit F-23 New Haven, CT 06512	
Article Number (Transfer from service label)	7011 2970 0002 3984 5952
PS Form 3811, February 2004	Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery</p> <p><i>TATIANA LINARES</i> <i>9 JAN 12</i></p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>3. Service Type <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> C.O.D.</p> <p>4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
1. Article Addressed to: ALVIN LINARES 510 Woodward Ave F-24 New Haven, CT 06512	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	7011 2970 0002 3984 5945
PS Form 3811, February 2004	Domestic Return Receipt 102595-02-M-1540



PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

OPEN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC

June 21st, 2012 at 6:30pm

AN INFORMATIONAL MEETING REGARDING:

The upgrade of the East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility to meet Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection environmental regulations regarding water quality. This upgrade is a key part of the Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan to reduce the discharge of combined sewer overflows. The goal is to improve the water quality of the West River, the Mill River, the Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor and ultimately Long Island Sound.

Will be Held At: Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center,
60 South Water St, New Haven CT 06519

PRIOR TO THE MEETING, INTERESTED PARTIES MAY RSVP ON WEEKDAYS, WITH RESPECT TO ATTENDANCE, TO:
GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5680 ext. 321



ANUNCIO DE REUNION SOBRE PROYECTO MUNICIPAL

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

ABIERTO A TODO PUBLICO

21 de Junio del 2012, 6:30 pm

REUNION INFORMATIVA SOBRE:

Las obras al East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility para satisfacer requisitos del tratamiento de aguas residuales domésticas impuestos por Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. La obra es parte del programa *Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan* para reducir descargas residuales en combinación con aguas lluvias al medio ambiente durante tormentas. Este proyecto es esencial para reducir estas descargas. El objetivo es mejorar la calidad de agua en West River, Mill River, Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor y Long Island Sound.

La reunión va a tomar lugar en: Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center,
60 South Water St, New Haven CT 06519

RSVP:
GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5680 ext. 321



PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

OPEN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC

June 21st, 2012 at 6:30pm

AN INFORMATIONAL MEETING REGARDING:

The upgrade of the East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility to meet Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection environmental regulations regarding water quality. This upgrade is a key part of the Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan to reduce the discharge of combined sewer overflows. The goal is to improve the water quality of the West River, the Mill River, the Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor and ultimately Long Island Sound.

Will be Held At: **Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center,
60 South Water St, New Haven CT 06519**

**PRIOR TO THE MEETING, INTERESTED PARTIES MAY RSVP ON WEEKDAYS, WITH RESPECT TO ATTENDANCE, TO:
GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5680 ext. 321**

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority

ABIERTO A TODO PUBLICO

21 de Junio del 2012, 6:30 pm

REUNION INFORMATIVA SOBRE:

Mejoras al East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility para satisfacer requisitos del tratamiento de aguas residuales domésticas impuestos por Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. La obra es parte del programa *Greater New Haven Combined Sewer Overflow Long-Term Control Plan* para reducir descargas de aguas residuales en combinación con aguas lluvias al medio ambiente durante tormentas. Este proyecto es esencial para reducir estas descargas. El objetivo es mejorar la calidad de agua en West River, Mill River, Quinnipiac River, New Haven Harbor y Long Island Sound.

La reunión va a tomar lugar en: **Sound School Regional Vocational Aquaculture Center,
60 South Water St, New Haven CT 06519**

RSVP (opcional):

GNHWPCA Administrative Office, 203.466.5680 ext. 321